

LEARNING-FOCUSED OBSERVATION RUBRIC

The following form is designed to provide feedback to instructors, course directors, and DF leadership about the extent to which learning-focused practices are being used in USAFA courses. Data gathered using this form will be summarized by course, rather than by individual instructor.

COURSE BEING OBSERVED: _____

DATE: _____

LEARNING GOALS^(4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 14)

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1. Are there learning goals specific to today's class? | YES | NO |
| 2. Have learning goals been clearly articulated in terms of what cadets will know and/or be able to do? | YES | NO |

If "YES," what are the learning goals for this class session?

Follow-on feedback areas:

CRITERION	FACILITATES LEARNING BEST		IN CONTRAST TO ...
- <i>Challenging, yet achievable</i>	LGs are appropriate for cadets' developmental level (challenging, yet achievable) <small>(5, 9, 12, 20)</small>	←→	LGs too lofty or too simplistic for cadets' developmental level
- <i>More than knowledge</i>	LGs reflect combination of knowledge, skills, & responsibilities <small>(3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 21)</small>	←→	LGs reflect only knowledge acquisition

ASSESSMENT

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. At some point during class, are cadets required to display what they have learned? ^(5, 12, 21) | YES | NO |
| 2. Was assessment well-aligned with the learning goal(s) ^(4, 7, 11, 19, 21) | YES | NO |

If “YES,” what did cadets do to display their learning?

Follow-on feedback areas:

CRITERION	FACILITATES LEARNING BEST		IN CONTRAST TO ...
<i>-Inclusiveness of Display</i>	<u>All</u> cadets display their learning ^(5, 12, 21)	←→	No cadets display their learning
<i>- Goal / Purpose</i>	Used to facilitate cadet learning (i.e., educative) ^(1, 5, 11, 20, 21)	←→	Used exclusively to give cadets a grade (i.e., auditive)
<i>-Authenticity</i>	Assessment requires cadets to use their learning to complete a realistic task ^(11, 21)	←→	Assessment asks cadets to simply recite their knowledge or follow an established procedure.
<i>-Criteria and Standards</i>	Cadets are clear on the criteria and standards use to evaluate their work ^(11, 21)	←→	Cadets are not clear on the criteria and standards used to evaluate their work.

References:

1. Angelo, T.A., & Cross, K.P. *Classroom assessment techniques: A handbook for college teachers* (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
2. Astin, A. (1993). *What matters in college? Four critical years revisited*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
3. Association of American Colleges and Universities (2007). *College learning for the new global century: A report from the National Leadership Council for Liberal Education & America's Promise (LEAP)*. Washington, DC: AAC&U.
4. Association of American Colleges and Universities (2002). *Greater Expectations: A new vision for learning as a nation goes to college*. Washington, DC: AAC&U.
5. Bain, K. (2004). *What the best college teachers do*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
6. Barr, R.B., & Tagg, J. (1995). *From teaching to learning: A new paradigm for undergraduate education*. *Change*, 27(6): 13-25.
7. Biggs, J. (1999). *What the student does: Teaching for enhanced learning*. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 18(1), 57-75.
8. Bok, D. (2006). *Our underachieving colleges: A candid look at how much students learn and why they should be learning more*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
9. Bonwell, C.C. & Eison, J.A. (1991). *Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
10. Committee on Learning Research and Educational Practice (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. Washington, DC: National Research Council.
11. Fink, L.D. (2003). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses*. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass.
12. Gardiner, L.F. (1998, Spring). Why we must change: The research evidence. *Thought & Action*, 71-87.
13. King, A. (1993). From sage on the stage to guide on the side. *College Teaching*, 41(1), 30-35.
14. Kuh, G.D., Kinzie, J., Schuh, J.H., & Whitt, E.J. (2005). *Student success in college: Creating conditions that matter*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
15. McKeachie, W.J., Pintrich, P.R., Lin, Y., & Smith, D.A.F. (1986). *Teaching and learning in the college classroom: A review of the research literature*. The University of Michigan: National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning.
16. Pascarella, E.T., & Terenzini, P.T. (1991). *How college affects students*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
17. Prince, M. (2004, June). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 1-9.
18. Tagg, J. (2004, May-June). Alignment for learning: Reorganizing classrooms and campuses. *About Campus*, 8-18.
19. Walvoord, B.E. & Anderson, V.J. (1998). *Effective grading: A tool for learning and assessment*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
20. Weimer, M. (2002). *Learner-centered teaching: Five key changes to practice*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
21. Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative assessment: Designing assessments to inform and improve student performance*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.